

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,679	06/22/2000	Robert J. Brockway	TER0400.010	7502
26629	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ZPS)			STORMER, RUSSELL D	
	14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD MEQUON, WI 53097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3617	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/599,679	BROCKWAY, ROBERT J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Russell D. Stormer	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 29-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Ln					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR

1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The declaration does not include both a residence and a mailing address for the

inventor.

Amendment to the Claims

2. The amendments to the claims filed in previous responses do not comply with

the requirements of 37 CFR 1.173(b) because they were filed before new guidelines

were implemented. The amendment must be made pursuant to 37 CFR 1.173(b) and

must comply with all the provisions of 37 CFR 1.173(b) – (e) and (g).

Applicant is required to file a single amendment including a copy of every claim

showing changes made to the claims showing appropriate identifiers and underlining

and bracketing relative to the original patent claims. Changes made by Certificate of

Correction should be made without underlining and bracketing. Cancelled claims

should be indicated as (cancelled). Further, any changes to the patent claims, or any

language of added claims needs an indication of where support can be found in the

specification.

3. Claims 1-23, 25, and 29-31 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue

declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect in the declaration is set forth in paragraph 1above in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the last paragraph of each of the claims, the cleat-free area is defined as being wide enough that cable, rope, and wire refuse will be at least substantially inhibited from being directed toward and being wrapped around the axle, and further wherein the rate of buildup of such refuse is at least reduced.

Such language is indefinite and ambiguous because the width of the cleat-free area is defined as a function ("being wide enough that") and it is not clear how wide a cleat-free area would be wide enough to perform the function.

Further, it is indefinite as to what is meant by "at least substantially inhibited."

Typically, the term "substantially" is used to allow for tolerance variations, but the claims are unclear as to how much buildup would be within acceptable tolerances.

Further, the limitation that the refuse will be "at least substantially inhibited" is contradicted by the limitation in the last two lines of each claim stating that the "rate of buildup" is "at least reduced." It would seem that reducing the rate of buildup would

Art Unit: 3617

allow a certain amount of refuse to build up on the axle, and this contradicts the limitation of "at least substantially inhibiting" the buildup.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/5/06